

Small ball probability estimates in terms of width ^{*}†

Rafał Łatała and Krzysztof Oleszkiewicz

Abstract

A certain inequality conjectured by Vershynin is studied. It is proved that for any symmetric convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with inradius w and $\gamma_n(K) \leq 1/2$ there is

$$\gamma_n(sK) \leq (2s)^{w^2/4} \gamma_n(K)$$

for any $s \in [0, 1]$. Some natural corollaries are deduced. Another conjecture of Vershynin is proved to be false.

1 Introduction

In his lecture at Snowbird'2004 AMS Conference Roman Vershynin posed two conjectures related to the rate of decay of the Gaussian measure of convex symmetric sets under homothetic shrinking. The first conjecture concerned some bounds in terms of the width of a convex symmetric set. The second one stated that among all convex symmetric bodies with fixed both Gaussian measure and width the cylinders are the ones that have the slowest decay of Gaussian measure under homothetic shrinking. Both conjectures will be described more precisely below. In this paper we prove some version of the first conjecture (Section 2) and we demonstrate that the second conjecture cannot hold in general (Section 4). We also sketch some natural direct applications that motivated Vershynin's questions. More sophisticated geometric consequences related to the Dvoretzky theorem were recently proved by Klartag and Vershynin, [4].

Let us introduce some notation and results that will be used. By γ_n we will denote the standard Gaussian probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$, with $\gamma_n(dx) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-|x|^2/2} dx$. For a set A in $\mathbb{R}^n$ we will write $A_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : d(x, A) < t\}$. The Gaussian isoperimetry [1, 8] states that if $\gamma_n(A) = \Phi(x)$, then $\gamma_n(A_t) \geq \Phi(x+t)$ for all $t > 0$.

The S-inequality [6] says that if $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex symmetric with $\gamma_n(K) = \gamma_1([-a, a])$ then $\gamma_n(tK) \leq \gamma_1([-ta, ta])$ for all $0 < t < 1$. This easily implies that for some universal constant C

$$\forall_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \gamma_n(tK) \leq Ct \gamma_n(K) \text{ if } \gamma_n(K) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (1)$$

^{*}2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 60G15, Secondary 60E15

[†]Research partially supported by KBN Grant 2 PO3A 027 22

The inequality (1) was first proved in [3] (see also its generalization to log-concave measures in [5]). Although in general one cannot improve (1), Vershynin conjectured that a stronger inequality can hold for the sets of large width.

For a convex symmetric set K in $\mathbb{R}^n$ let the *inradius* of K be defined as

$$w(K) = \sup\{r > 0 : B(0, r) \subset K\}.$$

Notice also that $w(K)$ is half of the *width* of K .

The B-inequality proved recently by Cordero, Fradelizi and Maurey [2] gives that for any symmetric convex set K in $\mathbb{R}^n$ the function $t \mapsto \ln \gamma_n(e^t K)$ is concave. In particular

$$\forall_{0 < s \leq t \leq 1} \frac{\gamma_n(sK)}{\gamma_n(K)} \leq \left(\frac{\gamma_n(tK)}{\gamma_n(K)} \right)^{\frac{\ln s}{\ln t}}. \quad (2)$$

2 Main Results.

Theorem 1 *For any convex symmetric set K in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\gamma_n(K) \leq 1/2$ we have*

$$\forall_{0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}} \gamma_n(tK) \leq t^{\frac{\ln 2}{8} w^2(K)} \gamma_n(K). \quad (3)$$

Proof. Let $s \geq 1$ be such that $\gamma_n(sK) = 1/2$. By the concavity of $t \mapsto \gamma_n(e^t K)$, we get $\gamma_n(tK)/\gamma_n(K) \leq \gamma_n(tsK)/\gamma_n(sK)$. Since $w(sK) \geq w(K)$, hence we may and will assume that $\gamma_n(K) = 1/2$.

Notice that $\frac{1}{2}K + \frac{1}{2}B(0, w(K)) \subset \frac{1}{2}K + \frac{1}{2}K = K$, so $(K^c)_{w(K)/2} \cap \frac{1}{2}K = \emptyset$. Thus by the Gaussian isoperimetry

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n\left(\frac{1}{2}K\right) &\leq 1 - \gamma_n((K^c)_{w(K)/2}) \leq 1 - \Phi(w(K)/2) \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{w^2(K)}{8}} \\ &= \gamma_n(K)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\ln 2}{8} w^2(K)}. \end{aligned}$$

We get (3) by (2). □

The following related conjecture seems reasonable.

Conjecture 1 *For any $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ there exist positive constants $C = C(\kappa)$ and $w_0 = w_0(\kappa)$ such that for any convex symmetric set K in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\gamma_n(K) \leq 1/2$ and $w(K) \geq w_0$ we have*

$$\forall_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \gamma_n(tK) \leq (Ct)^{\kappa w^2(K)} \gamma_n(K). \quad (4)$$

The first Vershynin's conjecture (it was originally formulated in the language of Theorem 4) was that the above inequality is true for some fixed $\kappa \in (0, 1)$. Inequality (3) shows that (4) holds for $\kappa = \frac{1}{8} \ln 2 > \frac{1}{12}$, we will now present some more elaborate argument for $\kappa = \frac{1}{4}$.

Lemma 1 *For any $u, v \geq 0$ we have*

$$\gamma_1((u + v, \infty)) \leq e^{-uv} \gamma_1((u, \infty)).$$

Proof. We have

$$\int_{u+v}^{\infty} e^{-s^2/2} ds = \int_u^{\infty} e^{-(s+v)^2/2} ds \leq \int_u^{\infty} e^{-sv} e^{-s^2/2} ds \leq e^{-uv} \int_u^{\infty} e^{-s^2/2} ds.$$

□

Theorem 2 For any convex symmetric set K in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $w = w(K)$ and $\gamma_n(K) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\gamma_n(sK) \leq (2s)^{w^2/4} \gamma_n(K) \text{ for } s \in [0, 1].$$

Proof. Let us notice that $\gamma_n(K^c) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}K \cap (K^c)_{w/2} = \emptyset$, hence by the isoperimetry

$$\gamma_n\left(\frac{1}{2}K\right) \leq 1 - \gamma_n((K^c)_{w/2}) \leq \gamma_1((w/2, \infty)).$$

Let us define $u \geq w/2$ by the formula

$$\gamma_n\left(\frac{1}{2}K\right) = \gamma_1((u, \infty)).$$

We also have $\frac{t}{2}K \cap ((\frac{1}{2}K)^c)_{\frac{1-t}{2}w} = \emptyset$ for $0 < t < 1$, so again by the isoperimetry and Lemma 1

$$\gamma_n\left(\frac{t}{2}K\right) \leq \gamma_1\left(u + \frac{1-t}{2}w, \infty\right) \leq e^{-\frac{1-t}{2}wu} \gamma_1((u, \infty)) \leq e^{-(1-t)w^2/4} \gamma_n\left(\frac{1}{2}K\right).$$

Thus

$$\frac{\gamma_n(\frac{t}{2}K)}{\gamma_n(\frac{1}{2}K)} \leq \left(\frac{t/2}{1/2}\right)^{\frac{w^2}{4} \frac{t-1}{\ln t}}.$$

Hence, by the B-inequality for any $s \leq t/2$ we obtain

$$\frac{\gamma_n(sK)}{\gamma_n(\frac{1}{2}K)} \leq (2s)^{\frac{w^2}{4} \frac{t-1}{\ln t}}.$$

Taking the limit $t \rightarrow 1^-$ we get for $s \in [0, 1/2]$

$$\gamma_n(sK) \leq \gamma_n\left(\frac{1}{2}K\right)(2s)^{w^2/4}.$$

□

Before stating the next result let us introduce some notation. By σ_{n-1} we will denote the (normalized) Haar measure on $S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$. For a set A in $\mathbb{R}^n$ to simplify the notation we will write $\sigma_{n-1}(A)$ instead of $\sigma_{n-1}(A \cap S^{n-1})$.

Theorem 3 For any convex symmetric set K in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\sigma_{n-1}(K) \leq 1/2$ we have

$$\forall_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \sigma_{n-1}(tK) \leq (12t)^{\frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{n}w(K)-6)_+^2}. \quad (5)$$

The proof is based on the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2 *There exists a universal constant $\alpha > 1/60$ such that for any star body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$\gamma_n(\sqrt{n}K) \geq \alpha \sigma_{n-1}(K) \quad (6)$$

and

$$\gamma_n((\sqrt{n}K)^c) \geq \alpha \sigma_{n-1}(K^c) \quad (7)$$

Proof. Let

$$L = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n: |\xi| \leq \sqrt{n}, \xi/|\xi| \in K \cap S^{n-1}\}.$$

Notice that $L \subseteq \sqrt{n}K$ and by the rotational invariance of γ_n

$$\gamma_n(\sqrt{n}K) \geq \gamma_n(L) = \gamma_n(B(0, \sqrt{n}))\sigma_{n-1}(K).$$

Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i^2 - 1)$, where g_i are iid $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ r.v.'s. Since $\mathbf{E}|X|/2 = \mathbf{E}X_- \leq (\mathbf{E}X^2)^{1/2}\mathbf{P}(X \leq 0)^{1/2}$ we get

$$\gamma_n(B(0, \sqrt{n})) = \mathbf{P}(X \leq 0) \geq \frac{(\mathbf{E}X)^2}{4\mathbf{E}X^2} \geq \frac{(\mathbf{E}X^2)^2}{4\mathbf{E}X^4} \geq \frac{1}{60},$$

where the last inequality follows by an easy calculation, since $\mathbf{E}(g_i^2 - 1) = 0$, $\mathbf{E}(g_i^2 - 1)^2 = 2$ and $\mathbf{E}(g_i^2 - 1)^4 = 60$.

In a similar way we show that for

$$\tilde{L} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n: |\xi| \geq \sqrt{n}, \xi/|\xi| \notin K \cap S^{n-1}\}$$

we have

$$\gamma_n((\sqrt{n}K)^c) \geq \gamma_n(\tilde{L}) = \mathbf{P}(X \geq 0)\sigma_{n-1}(K^c) \geq \frac{1}{60}\sigma_{n-1}(K^c).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3. Obviously we may assume that $\sqrt{n}w(K) > 6$ and $t < 1/12$. Let α be the constant given by the preceding lemma. If $\sigma_{n-1}(K) \leq 1/2$, then by (7) $\gamma_n((\sqrt{n}K)^c) \geq \alpha/2 > \Phi(-2.5)$. Hence by the Gaussian isoperimetry (since $\text{dist}((\sqrt{n} - s)K, (\sqrt{n}K)^c) \geq sw(K)$) we get

$$\gamma_n((\sqrt{n} - \frac{5}{w(K)})K) \leq 1 - \gamma_n(((\sqrt{n}K)^c)_5) \leq 1 - \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(\alpha/2) + 5)$$

$$\leq 1 - \Phi(2.5) \leq \min(\alpha, 1/2).$$

Let $t_0 = \sqrt{n} - 5(w(K))^{-1} \geq \sqrt{n}/6 > t\sqrt{n}$, then by (6) and Theorem 2 we get

$$\sigma_{n-1}(tK) \leq \alpha^{-1}\gamma_n(\sqrt{nt}K) \leq \alpha^{-1}(2t\sqrt{n}/t_0)^{w^2(t_0K)/4}\gamma_n(t_0K) \leq (12t)^{(\sqrt{n}w(K)-5)_+^2}.$$

□

3 Some applications

Let X be a centered Gaussian vector with values in a separable Banach space $(F, \|\cdot\|)$. We define $M = \text{Med}(\|X\|)$ and

$$\sigma = \sigma_X = \sup_{f \in E^*, \|f\| \leq 1} (\mathbf{E} f^2(X))^{1/2}.$$

Theorem 4 *For any $t \in [0, 1]$ we have*

$$\mathbf{P}(\|X\| \leq tM) \leq \frac{1}{2}(2t)^{\frac{M^2}{4\sigma^2}}.$$

Proof. We may represent X (cf. [7]) as $X = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i g_i$ for some $x_i \in F$ and g_i iid $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ r.v.'s. Standard approximation argument shows that it is enough to consider the case $X = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i g_i$. Let

$$K := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \xi_i\| \leq M\}.$$

Obviously $\gamma_n(K) = 1/2$, moreover if $\xi \notin K$ then for some $f \in E^*$, $\|f\| \leq 1$, hence we get

$$M < f(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \xi_i) \leq (\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)^2)^{1/2} |\xi| \leq \sigma |\xi|.$$

Thus $w(K) \geq M/\sigma$ and by Theorem 2

$$\mathbf{P}(\|X\| \leq tM) = \gamma_n(tK) \leq \frac{1}{2}(2t)^{\frac{M^2}{4\sigma^2}}.$$

□

Before formulating the next result let us recall that we define for $p \neq 0$ the p -th moment of a random vector X as $\|X\|_p = (\mathbf{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p}$ and for $p = 0$ as $\|X\|_0 = \exp(\mathbf{E} \ln \|X\|)$.

Corollary 1 *For any $p > q > \min(-1, -\frac{M^2}{4\sigma^2})$ there exists a constant $C_{p,q}$ that depends on p and q only such that for any centered Gaussian vector X*

$$\|X\|_p \leq C_{p,q} \|X\|_q.$$

Proof. It is well known that $\|X\|_p \leq C_p M$, so it is enough to show that for each $q > r := \min(-1, -\frac{M^2}{4\sigma^2})$, $\|X\|_q \geq c_q M$. However by (1) and Theorem 2 we get $\mathbf{P}(\|X\| \leq tM) \leq (Ct)^r$ and the desired estimate immediately follows. □

4 Counterexample to Vershynin's conjecture

Vershynin conjectured that cylinders have the slowest decay of the Gaussian measure under homothetic shrinking among all centrally symmetric convex bodies with fixed width and Gaussian measure. Namely, he conjectured that if

$C = B_2^k(0, w) \times \mathbb{R}^l$ (where $B_2^k(0, w) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k: |x| \leq w\}$) and K is a centrally symmetric convex body such that $\gamma(K) = \gamma_{k+l}(C)$ and $w(K) = w = w(C)$ then $\gamma(tK) \leq \gamma_{k+l}(tC)$ for any $t \in (0, 1)$. Certainly, the dimension parameter l is a bit artificial here and one can easily reduce the problem to the case $l = 0$. This conjecture seemed naturally related to Conjecture 1. Indeed, if a cylinder C has large width and $\gamma_{k+l}(C) = 1/2$ then $\gamma_k(B_2^k(0, w)) = 1/2$ and w must be close to $\sqrt{k}$. On the other hand, $\log_t \gamma_{k+l}(tC) \rightarrow k$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Although Conjecture 1 still seems open, we prove that Vershynin's cylinder conjecture cannot hold in general.

For simplicity the counterexample will be produced for $k = 2$ but one can easily extend our construction to any $k > 2$.

Let us recall that for a set A in $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\gamma_n^+(A) := \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma_n(A_t) - \gamma_n(A)}{t}.$$

We begin with two simple and quite standard lemmas.

Lemma 3 *There exist positive numbers w and a such that $\gamma_2(B_2^2(0, w)) = \gamma_1((-a, a))$ and $\gamma_2^+(B_2^2(0, w)) > \gamma_1^+((-a, a))$.*

Proof. Let w and a be positive numbers such that $\gamma_2(B_2^2(0, w)) = \gamma_1((-a, a))$. We will prove that if a is large enough then $\gamma_2^+(B_2^2(0, w)) > \gamma_1^+((-a, a))$. Let us recall a standard estimate for the Gaussian tails:

$$\begin{aligned} a^{-1}e^{-a^2/2} - \int_a^\infty e^{-s^2/2} ds &= \\ \int_a^\infty \frac{d}{dx} (\int_x^\infty e^{-s^2/2} ds - x^{-1}e^{-x^2/2}) dx &= \int_a^\infty x^{-2}e^{-x^2/2} dx, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\int_a^\infty e^{-s^2/2} ds \leq a^{-1}e^{-a^2/2}$$

and

$$\int_a^\infty e^{-s^2/2} ds \geq a^{-1}e^{-a^2/2} - a^{-3} \int_a^\infty x e^{-x^2/2} dx = (a^{-1} - a^{-3})e^{-a^2/2}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-w^2/2} &= 1 - \gamma_2(B_2^2(0, w)) = 1 - \gamma_1((-a, a)) = \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_a^\infty e^{-s^2/2} ds &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} a^{-1}e^{-a^2/2} \end{aligned}$$

we have $w \geq a + a^{-1} \ln a + o(a^{-1} \ln a)$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore for sufficiently large a we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2^+(B_2^2(0, w)) &= w e^{-w^2/2} > (a + 2a^{-1})e^{-w^2/2} = (a + 2a^{-1}) \cdot \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_a^\infty e^{-s^2/2} ds \geq \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (a + 2a^{-1})(a^{-1} - a^{-3})e^{-a^2/2} &> \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-a^2/2} = \gamma_1^+((-a, a)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4 *If a sequence of positive numbers $u(n)$ satisfies*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(B_2^n(0, u(n))) > 0$$

then $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/2}u(n) \geq 1$. Conversely, if a sequence of positive numbers $v(n)$ satisfies $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/2}v(n) > 1$ then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(B_2^n(0, v(n))) = 1.$$

Proof. Let $g_1, g_2, \dots$ be i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables. The assertion easily follows from the observation that

$$\gamma_n(B_2^n(0, r)) = \mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i^2 < r^2\right)$$

and the Law of Large Numbers. □

Constrution of the counterexample. Let $w, a > 0$ be such that $\gamma_2(B_2^2(0, w)) = \gamma_1((-a, a))$ and $\gamma_2^+(B_2^2(0, w)) > \gamma_1^+((-a, a))$ and let $t \in (0, 1)$. For $x \in (0, w)$ let $y = y(x) = \frac{x}{2} + \frac{w^2}{2x}$ and $s = s(x) = \frac{2w^2x}{w^2+x^2}$. Note that $x < s < y$. We define a continuous function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, w]$ by:

$$f(r) = w \text{ if } r \in [0, x],$$

$$f(r) = 0 \text{ if } r \geq y \text{ and}$$

$$f(r) = \frac{(y-r)w}{y-x} \text{ if } r \in (x, y).$$

Let $K_n = K_n(x)$ be a *flying saucer* body defined by

$$K_n = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : f((\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_i^2)^{1/2}) > |\xi_n|\}.$$

Clearly, K_n is a convex body contained in the symmetric strip $S = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi_n| \leq w\}$. Notice that the line on the plane $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) : \xi_2 = (y - \xi_1)w/(y - x)\}$ is tangent to the ball $B_2^2(0, w)$ and the tangent point is $(s, f(s))$. Thus K_n contains the inscribed Euclidean ball $B_2^n(0, w)$. Hence the inradius $w(K_n) = w$. For sufficiently large n one can choose $x \in (0, w)$ such that $\gamma_n(K_n) = \gamma_2(B_2^2(0, w))$. Indeed, for fixed n , the body K_n tends to S as $x \rightarrow 0^+$ and it tends to $C_n = B_2^{n-1}(0, w) \times (-w, w)$ as $x \rightarrow w^-$. Since $\gamma_n(S) > \gamma_2(B_2^2(0, w))$ and $\gamma_n(C_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, our claim follows by the continuity. Moreover, $x = x(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, it suffices to note that $y(n) = y(x(n)) \rightarrow \infty$ since

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n-1}(B_2^{n-1}(0, y(n))) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(B_2^{n-1}(0, y(n)) \times (-w, w)) \geq$$

$$\gamma_n(K_n) = \gamma_2(B_2^2(0, w)) > 0.$$

From now on we assume that n is large enough and $x = x(n)$ is such that $\gamma_n(K_n) = \gamma_2(B_2^2(0, w))$. Let b, c and d be positive numbers such that $a > b >$

$c > d$. Let $u, v, z \in (x, y)$ be such that $f(u) = b, f(v) = c$ and $f(z) = d$. Simple calculations show that

$$u = x + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{w}{x} - \frac{x}{w}\right)(w - b),$$

$$v = x + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{w}{x} - \frac{x}{w}\right)(w - c)$$

and

$$z = x + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{w}{x} - \frac{x}{w}\right)(w - d).$$

Hence $v/u \rightarrow \frac{w-c}{w-b} > 1$ and $v/z \rightarrow \frac{w-c}{w-d} < 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$K_n \subset (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times (-b, b)) \cup (B_2^{n-1}(0, u) \times ([b, w) \cup (-w, -b]))$$

we have

$$\gamma_1((-a, a)) = \gamma_2(B_2^2(0, w)) = \gamma_n(K_n) \leq \gamma_1(-b, b) + 2\gamma_1((b, w))\gamma_{n-1}(B(0, u))$$

and therefore

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n-1}(B_2^{n-1}(0, u)) > 0,$$

so that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/2}u \geq 1$. Hence $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/2}v \geq \frac{w-c}{w-b} > 1$ and consequently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n-1}(B_2^{n-1}(0, v)) = 1.$$

If $t > \frac{w-c}{w-d}$ then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{tz}{v} \geq t \frac{w-d}{w-c} > 1,$$

so that $tz \geq v$ for n large enough. Moreover

$$B_2^{n-1}(0, z) \times (-d, d) \subset K_n,$$

so

$$B_2^{n-1}(0, tz) \times (-td, td) \subset tK_n$$

and (assuming Vershynin's cylinder conjecture is true) we get that for any $\frac{w-c}{w-d} < t < 1$,

$$\gamma_2(B_2^2(0, tw)) = \gamma_2(tB_2^2(0, w)) \geq \gamma_n(tK_n) \geq \gamma_{n-1}(B_2^{n-1}(0, tz))\gamma_1((-td, td)) \geq$$

$$\gamma_{n-1}(B_2^{n-1}(0, v))\gamma_1((-td, td)) \rightarrow \gamma_1(-td, td)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have proved $\gamma_2(B_2(0, tw)) \geq \gamma_1(-td, td)$ if only $\frac{w-c}{w-d} < t < 1$. Note however that for a fixed $t \in (0, 1)$ one can set $b, c \rightarrow a$ and $d \rightarrow (a - (1 - t)w)/t$ in such way that $t > \frac{w-c}{w-d}$. Then $td \rightarrow a - (1 - t)w$ and we deduce that

$$\gamma_2(B_2^2(0, tw)) \geq \gamma_1((-a - (1 - t)w), a - (1 - t)w))$$

for any $t \in (0, 1)$. The above inequality becomes an equality for $t = 1$ so by differentiating at $t = 1$ we obtain

$$\gamma_2^+(B_2^2(0, w)) \leq \gamma_1^+((-a, a)),$$

contrary to the way in which we chose w and a in the beginning. This proves that Vershynin's cylinder conjecture cannot be true in general.

Remark Note that one really needs some “extra” dimensions in the construction of the counterexample. If we assume that $K \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is a convex symmetric body with inradius w and $\gamma_k(K) = \gamma_k(B_2^k(0, w))$ then obviously K must be equal to $B_2^k(0, w)$ up to some boundary points, so that also $\gamma_k(tK) = \gamma_k(B_2^k(0, tw))$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ even though the Euclidean ball has **the fastest** decay of the Gaussian measure under homothetic shrinking among all bodies of the fixed Gaussian measure.

Acknowledgments. Part of this research was done during the Snowbird AMS-IMS-SIAM Conference “Gaussian Measure and Geometric Convexity” in July 2004. We would like to thank the organizers for creating excellent research conditions.

References

- [1] C. Borell *The Brunn-Minkowski inequality in Gauss space*, Invent. Math. 30 (1975), 207–216.
- [2] D. Cordero-Erausquin, M. Fradelizi, B. Maurey, *The (B) conjecture for the Gaussian measure of dilates of symmetric convex sets and related problem*, J. Func. Anal. 114 (2004), 410–427.
- [3] P. Hitczenko, S. Kwapień, V. Li, G. Schechtman, T. Schlumprecht, J. Zinn, *Hypercontractivity and comparison of moments of iterated maxima and minima of independent random variables*, Electron. J. Probab. 3 (1998).
- [4] B. Klartag, R. Vershynin, *Small ball probability and Dvoretzky Theorem*, preprint.
- [5] R. Latała *On the equivalence between geometric and arithmetic means for log-concave measures*, in Convex geometric analysis (Berkeley, CA, 1996) Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 34, 123–127, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1999.
- [6] R. Latała, K. Oleszkiewicz *Gaussian measures of dilatations of convex symmetric sets*, Ann. Probab. 27 (1999), 1922–1938.
- [7] M. Ledoux, M. Talagrand *Probability in Banach Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [8] V.N. Sudakov, B.S. Tsirelson, *Extremal properties of half-spaces for spherically invariant measures*, Zap. Nauch. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 41 (1974), 14–24.

Institute of Mathematics, Warsaw University
Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland
e-mail: rlatala@mimuw.edu.pl, koles@mimuw.edu.pl